

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/767,659	01/22/2001	Richard N. Savage	A-67736-1/AJT/MSS	2896
7:	590 12/12/2005		EXAM	INER
Maria S. Swiatek			FOX, CHARLES A	
FLEHR HOHB	ACH TEST ALBRITTO	N & HERBERT LLP		
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			3652	

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/767,659	SAVAGE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles A. Fox	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Section 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 25 or 26 or 26 or 27 or 2	action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 19-24,26 and 27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19-24,26 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 19 -24,26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 19 line 8 where a semiconductor process chamber is said to be in the load lock, this language is indefinite. Is the applicant claiming a process chamber attached to each load lock as described in the specification or an actual process chamber within the load lock. If the former it is redundant as the claim already provides a load lock for each process chamber in line 5. Deleting the limitation of a process chamber in the load lock will render moot this rejection.

Regarding line 10 there is a lack of antecedent basis for "said process chamber" as previously there are only pluralities of process chambers mentioned. Even the section of line 8 mentioned above refers to multiple process chambers as one is provided at each load lock. Changing line 10 to read " from one of said process chambers to said...." will render this rejection moot.

Regarding claim 20 line 3 should also be changed to read "evacuating said respective loadlock chamber..." as above only a plurality of load lock chambers are mentioned in the claims and it is indefinite as to which said load lock the applicant is referring. Claim 21 has a similar problem at line 2 with antecedent basis for said load lock. It is also indefinite if each load lock has a cooling plate or just the one referenced

above. Changing said load lock chamber to "each of said load lock chambers" will render this rejection moot.

Regarding claim 22 line 4 it is indefinite as to what process chamber the wafer is being moved to as one is provided in each load lock as well as one being attached to each load lock. Clarification is required. Also in claim 22 line 6 there is no antecedent basis for "said semiconductor wafer chamber".

Regarding claim 23 line 4 again it is unclear as to which process chamber the wafer is being moved to. Is it the one in the load lock or the one attached to the load lock? Clarification is required.

Regarding claim 24 line 11 again a plurality of process chambers are previously presented in the claim but only one is called out at line 11. The proper way of singling out one of a plurality is "from one of said wafer process chambers".

Claims 26 and 27 are indefinite as they are dependent upon claims which are held as being indefinite. Once the claims from which they depend are corrected the rejections of claims 26 and 27 will be withdrawn.

## Response to Amendment

The amendments filed on September 26, 2005 have been entered into the record.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19 and 24 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. Both claims have the limitation of moving a processed and an unprocessed wafer from a Application/Control Number: 09/767,659

Art Unit: 3652

process chamber to a load lock. This limitation is not taught or suggested by the closest

Page 4

prior art of Suda et al. or Tepman.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-24,26 and 27 have been

considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-

6923. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00-4:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CM WHA 12-8-65 Charles A. Fox

Examiner

Art Unit 3652